

A Fixed Point Theorem In Partially Ordered Cone Metric Spaces

M.Ghotbizadeh

Islamic Azad University, of Ahvaz Branch, Iran

Abstract

In this paper, we prove a theorem on fixed point in partially ordered cone metric spaces.

Keywords and phrases: Fixed point theorem, partial Cone Metric Spaces

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction

Cone metric spaces are a generalization of metric spaces. These spaces are one of the interesting spaces which first introduced by Huang and Zhang [1]. After, many of authors have studied these spaces. In recent years, several authors (see [2-7]) have studied the fixed points in cone metric spaces.

Seong Hoon Cho and Mi Sun Kim [7] have proved certain fixed point theorems by using

Multivalued mapping in the setting of contractive constant in metric spaces.

Partial metric spaces have been originally developed by Matthews [8] to provide mechanism generalizing metric space theories. Now we begin with some definition.

Definition 1.1. Let E be a real Banach space. A subset P of E is called to be a cone if it satisfies in following conditions:

- i. $P \neq \emptyset, P$ is closed and $P \neq \{\theta\}$
- ii. $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a, b \geq 0, x, y \in P \Rightarrow ax + by \in P;$
- iii. $P \cap (-P) = \{\theta\}.$

Example 1.2. Suppose $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $P = \{(x, y) \in E: x, y \geq 0\}$. Then P is a cone.

Define the partial order \leq on P as follow:

$$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow y - x \in P$$

Remark 1.3. $x < y$ means $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$ and $x \ll y$ means $y - x \in \text{Int } P$, where $\text{Int } P$ denotes interior of P .

Definition 1.4. A cone P is called to be normal when there exists a $k > 0$ such that $0 \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq k\|y\|$ for each $x, y \in E$. The least number k which satisfies in previous inequality is called normal constant of P . Clearly, $k \geq 1$.

Definition 1.5. Let X be a nonempty set and E be a real Banach space. Suppose a function $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies in following conditions:

- i. $\theta \leq d(x, y)$ for each $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ iff $x = y$;
- ii. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, for each $x, y \in X$;
- iii. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, for each $x, y, z \in X$.

Then we say d is a cone metric on X and (X, d) is called a cone metric space.

Example 1.6. Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2$, $P = \{(x, y) \in E: x, y \geq 0\}$, $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ by $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \alpha|x - y|)$, where $\alpha \geq 0$ is a Constant. Then (X, d) is a cone metric space.

2. A Fixed Point Theorem

In this section we rewrite a theorem in [9] and give a new result from it. First of all, we have a theorem from [9].

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, \sqsubseteq) be a partial ordered set and d be a metric on X such that the cone metric space (X, d) be complete. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow X$ be continuous and nondecreasing map w.r.t \sqsubseteq . Also, Let the following conditions hold:

- (i) There exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ with $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ such that

$$d(f_x, f_y) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta [d(x, f_x) + d(y, f_y)] + \gamma [d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))]$$

For all $x, y \in X$ with $y \sqsubseteq x$,

- (ii) There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \sqsubseteq f(x_0)$.

Then f has a fixed point.

The following theorem has an effective role in main theorem. Indeed, we have used from it to prove the our main result.

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, \sqsubseteq) be a partial ordered set and there exists a cone metric d on X such that (X, d) be complete. Suppose that $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be weakly increasing maps w.r.t \sqsubseteq and the following conditions hold:

- (i) Here exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ with $\alpha, 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ such that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta [d(x, f(x)) + d(y, g(y))] + \gamma [d(x, g(y)) + d(y, f(x))] \quad (1) \quad \text{for all comparative}$$

$x, y \in X,$

- (ii) If (x_n) is an increasing sequence and convergent to $x \in X$, then $x_n \sqsubseteq x$, for all n .

Then each f, g have a common fixed point.

Now, we prove the main theorem:

Theorem 2.3. Let the assumptions of theorem 2.2 hold. Then each fixed point of f is a fixed point of g and vice versa.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary element in X . Define the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$x_{2n+1} = fx_{2n}, x_{2n+2} = gx_{2n+1} \quad n \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

Since f, g are weakly increasing we obtain

$$x_1 \sqsubseteq x_2 \sqsubseteq \dots \sqsubseteq x_n \sqsubseteq x_{n+1} \sqsubseteq \dots$$

Which means $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nondecreasing w.r.t \sqsubseteq .

Now, by assumption x_{2n}, x_{2n+1} are comparative. By using of (1) we have

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + (\beta + \gamma)d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})$$

Which implies

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq kd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

where $k = \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} < 1$. In a similar manner we can show that

$$d(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2}) \leq kd(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1})$$

So

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq kd(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \dots \leq k^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1)$$

For all $n \geq 1$. Let $m > n$. It is easy to show that

$$d(x_m, x_n) \leq \frac{k^n}{1 - k} d(x_0, x_1)$$

Thus $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Now, since X is complete, so there exists $x \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and by assumption.

(ii) We conclude $x_n \sqsubseteq x$ for all n . According to (2) for some elements in X , say $x_1, x_1 \sqsubseteq fx_1$. Also, for some element in X , say $x_2, x_2 \sqsubseteq gx_2$. So the conditions of theorem 2.1 hold. Therefore f has a fixed point \hat{x} and g has a fixed point \tilde{x} . We show that $g\hat{x} = \hat{x}$.

We have

$$d(f\hat{x}, g\hat{x}) \leq \alpha d(\hat{x}, \hat{x}) + \beta [d(\hat{x}, f\hat{x}) + d(\hat{x}, g\hat{x})] + \gamma [d(\hat{x}, g\hat{x}) + d(\hat{x}, f\hat{x})]$$

So

$$d(\hat{x}, g\hat{x}) \leq (\beta + \gamma)d(\hat{x}, g\hat{x}) \text{ which implies } (\beta + \gamma)d(\hat{x}, g\hat{x}) - d(\hat{x}, g\hat{x}) \in P$$

$$\text{i.e. } -(1 - (\beta + \gamma))d(\hat{x}, g\hat{x}) \in P. \text{ Since } (1 - (\beta + \gamma))^{-1} > 0$$

$$\text{Thus } -(1 - (\beta + \gamma))^{-1}(1 - (\beta + \gamma))d(\hat{x}, g\hat{x}) = -d(\hat{x}, g\hat{x}) \in P.$$

Since P is a cone, Hence $\hat{x} = g\hat{x}$. As before, we can prove $f\hat{x} = \hat{x}$ and the proof is completed. \square

References

- [1] L. G. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332(2007) 1468-1476.
- [2] Bose R.K. and Mukerjee R.N, *Common fixed points of some multivalued mappings* , Tamkang J.Math.,Vol.8(2),pp.245-249.(1977).
- [3] Huang Gaung,Zhang Xian, *Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings*, J.math.Anal.Appl.332(2007)1468-1476.
- [4] H.Mohebi, *Topical functions and their properties in a class of ordered Banach spaces, in continuous Optimization, Current Trends and Modern Applications*, PartII, Springer,2005. pp.343-361.
- [5] H.Mohebi,H.Sadeghi,A.M.Rubinov, *Best approximation in a class of normed spaces with star-shaped cone*, Numer.Funct.Anal.Optim.27(34)(2006)411-436.
- [6] Sh.Rezapour,R.Hamlbarani, *Some notes on the Paper-Cone metric Spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings*,J.Math.Anal.Appl.345(2008)719-724.
- [7] Seong Hoon Cho,Mi Sun Kim, *Fixed point theorems for general contractive multivalued mappings*, J.Appl.Math.Informatics Vol.27 (2009)343-350.
- [8] S.G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, in: Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications. Ann. New York Acad. Sci.728 (1994), 183-197.
- [9]A. Sonmez, Fixed point theorems in partial cone metric spaces,arXimath1101.2741v1(2011)