

COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES AND INCLUSION RELATIONSHIP FOR P-VALENT FUNCTIONS RELATED TO CERTAIN OPERATOR

C.SELVARAJ AND G.THIRUPATHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a new class of multivalent functions defined by a linear operator to study some of the interesting properties like coefficient estimates, inclusion relationship.

1. INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{A}_p be the class of functions analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p} \quad (p \geq 1).$$

and let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1$.

For the functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) and $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n+p} z^{n+p}$, the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} b_{n+p} z^{n+p}.$$

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} . Then we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in \mathbb{U} , if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in \mathbb{U} such that $|w(z)| < |z|$ and $f(z) = g(w(z))$, denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$. If $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathcal{U} , then the subordination is equivalent to $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$ ([11, 3]).

We denote by $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\eta)$, $\mathcal{C}_p^*(\eta)$ and $\mathcal{K}_p^*(\eta)$ the subclass of \mathcal{A}_p consisting of all analytic functions which are, respectively, p -valent starlike of order η ($0 \leq \eta < p$) in \mathbb{U} , p -valent convex of order η and p -valent close to convex of order η ($0 \leq \eta < p$) in \mathbb{U} (see, [16]).

Let \mathcal{N} be the class of analytic functions h with $h(0) = 1$, which are convex and univalent in \mathbb{U} and satisfy the following inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \{h(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Making use of the aforementioned principle of subordination between analytic functions, we define each of the following subclasses of \mathcal{A}_p :

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_p^*(\eta; h) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A}_p \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \eta \right) \prec h(z) \right\} \quad (0 \leq \eta < p; z \in \mathbb{U}; h \in \mathcal{N}),$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. multivalent functions, coefficient estimates, inclusion relationships, strongly starlike function, strongly convex function, differential operator.

(1.3)
$$\mathcal{C}_p(\eta; h) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A}_p \text{ and } \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \eta \right) \prec h(z) \right\} \quad (0 \leq \eta < p; z \in \mathbb{U}; h \in \mathcal{N})$$

and

(1.4)
$$\mathcal{K}_p(\eta, \delta; \phi, h) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A}_p : \exists g \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\eta; h), \frac{1}{p-\delta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \delta \right) \prec \phi(z) \right\},$$

where, $0 \leq \eta < p, 0 \leq \delta < p; z \in \mathbb{U}; h, \phi \in \mathcal{N}$.

In particular, we set

(1.5)
$$\mathcal{S}_p^* \left(\eta; \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\alpha \right) = \mathcal{S}_p^*(\eta; h_\alpha) \quad \left(0 \leq \eta < p; z \in \mathbb{U}; h_\alpha(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\alpha \in \mathcal{N} \right)$$

and

(1.6)
$$\mathcal{C}_p \left(\eta; \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\alpha \right) = \mathcal{C}_p^*(\eta; h_\alpha) \quad \left(0 \leq \eta < p; z \in \mathbb{U}; h_\alpha(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\alpha \in \mathcal{N} \right).$$

It is easily seen from the above definitions that

(1.7)
$$f \in \mathcal{C}_p(\eta; h) \iff \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\eta; h)$$

and

(1.8)
$$\mathcal{S}_p^*(\eta; h_1) = \mathcal{S}_p^*(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_p(\eta; h_1) = \mathcal{C}_p(\eta).$$

The classes $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\eta; h)$ and $\mathcal{C}_p(\eta; h)$ were studied by Kim et. al. [6] and Ma and Minda [10]. Furthermore, the special classes $\mathcal{S}_1^*(0; h_\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}_1(0; h_\alpha)$ of strongly starlike functions of order α in \mathbb{U} and strongly convex functions of order α in \mathbb{U} , respectively, were investigated extensively by Mocanu [13] and Nunokawa [14].

Recently, the authors [1] introduced the operator $\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} : \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$ as follows:

(1.9)
$$\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z) = z^p + \frac{\Gamma(p+\alpha+\beta-\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(p+\beta)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(p+\beta+n)}{\Gamma(p+\alpha+\beta+n-\gamma+1)} \right] a_{n+p} z^{n+p}$$

($\beta > -p; \alpha > \gamma - 1; \gamma \in \mathbb{R}; p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in \mathbb{U}$).

From(1.9), it is easy to verify that

(1.10)
$$z \left(\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z) \right)' = (p+\alpha+\beta-\gamma+1) \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z) - (\alpha+\beta-\gamma+1) \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z).$$

Remark 1.1. If we let $\gamma = 1$, then this operator $\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ reduces to $\mathcal{Q}_{\beta,p}^\alpha$ was introduced and studied by Liu and Owa [8] and $\mathcal{Q}_{\beta,1}^\alpha = \mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha$, was introduced and studied by Jung et al. [5]. If for a choice of α and β , then the operator $\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ reduces to the familiar other well-known integral operators introduced and discussed by various authors [2, 15, 9, 7].

Definition 1.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$, if it satisfies the following relation:

(1.11)
$$\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}_p : \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\eta; h) \}$$

($0 \leq \eta < p, \beta > -p; \alpha > \gamma - 1; \gamma \in \mathbb{R}; p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in \mathbb{U}$).

Definition 1.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$, if it satisfies the following relation:

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h) = \{f \in \mathcal{A}_p : \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f \in \mathcal{C}_p(\eta; h)\}$$

$$(0 \leq \eta < p, \quad \beta > -p; \alpha > \gamma - 1; \gamma \in \mathbb{R}; p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Definition 1.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, \delta, h, \phi)$, if it satisfies the following relation:

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h, \phi) = \{f \in \mathcal{A}_p : \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f \in \mathcal{K}_p(\eta, \delta; h, \phi)\}$$

$$(0 \leq \eta < p, 0 \leq \delta < p \quad \beta > -p; \alpha > \gamma - 1; \gamma \in \mathbb{R}; p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Remark 1.2. If $f \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, \delta, h, \phi)$, then $\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f \in \mathcal{K}_p(\eta, \delta; h, \phi)$, hence there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\eta; h)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p - \delta} \left(\frac{z (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z))'}{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} g(z)} - \delta \right) \prec \phi(z).$$

It is easy to check that

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{z}{p} (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z))' = \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{p} \right)$$

and according to this formula, we have

$$(1.15) \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h) \iff \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$$

In this paper, we investigate inclusion properties of the classes $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$, $\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$, $\mathcal{K}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$ and coefficient estimation for the classes $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$, $\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$.

2. INCLUSION RELATIONSHIPS

The following results are needed for our investigation.

Lemma 2.1. [4] *Let h be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} with $h(0) = 1$ and $Re(\kappa h(z) + \nu) > 0$ ($\kappa, \nu \in \mathbb{C}; z \in \mathbb{U}$). If q is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $q(0) = 1$, then the subordination*

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\kappa q(z) + \nu} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Lemma 2.2. [12] *Let h be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} and ω be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $Re\omega(z) \geq 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). If q is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $q(0) = h(0)$, then the subordination*

$$q(z) + \omega(z)zq'(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > -p, \alpha > \gamma - 1, 0 \leq \eta < p$. Then*

$$\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h) \subset \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$ and set

$$(2.1) \quad q(z) = \frac{1}{p - \eta} \left(\frac{z (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z))'}{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z)} - \eta \right)$$

where q is analytic in $(z \in \mathbb{U})$ with $q(0) = 1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ for all $(z \in \mathbb{U})$. Applying (1.10) and (2.1), we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad (p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1) \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z)}{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z)} = (p - \eta) q(z) + \eta + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1$$

By logarithmically differentiating both sides of (2.2) and multiplying the resulting equation by z , we have

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{p - \eta} \left(\frac{z (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z))'}{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z)} - \eta \right) = q(z) + \frac{z q'(z)}{(p - \eta) q(z) + \eta + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

By applying Lemma 2.1 to (2.4), it follows that $q \prec h$ in $(z \in \mathbb{U})$, that is, $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > -p, \alpha > \gamma - 1, 0 \leq \eta < p$. Then*

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h) \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h).$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$, then by definition we have, $\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f \in \mathcal{C}_p(\eta, h)$.

According to (1.14), (1.15) together with Theorem (2.3), we get the desired estimation. \square

Taking

$$\psi(z) = \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right)^\alpha, \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, 0 < \alpha \leq 1),$$

in Theorem(2.3) and Theorem(2.4), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > -p, \alpha > \gamma - 1, 0 \leq \eta < p, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, A, B) \subset \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \eta, A, B).$$

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > -p, \alpha > \gamma - 1, 0 \leq \eta < p, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, A, B) \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \eta, A, B).$$

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > -p, \alpha > \gamma - 1, 0 \leq \eta < p$.*

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h, \phi) \subset \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h, \phi).$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h, \phi)$, related to $g \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$, according to the definition of these classes, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{p - \delta} \left(\frac{z (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z))'}{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} g(z)} - \delta \right) \prec \phi(z).$$

Now, if we let

$$(2.7) \quad q(z) = \frac{1}{p - \delta} \left(\frac{z (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z))'}{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} g(z)} - \delta \right)$$

then q is analytic in \mathbb{U} , with $q(0) = 1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Using (1.10), we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad [(p - \delta)q(z) + \delta] \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} g(z) + (\alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1) \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z) = (p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1) \mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z).$$

Differentiating (2.8) and multiplying by z , we have

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1) z (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z))' &= z (\alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1) (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} f(z))' \\ &+ z [(p - \delta)q(z) + \delta] (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} g(z))' \\ &+ z(p - \delta)q'(z)\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} g(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$, by Theorem (2.3), we have $g \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$. Letting

$$(2.10) \quad H(z) = \frac{1}{p - \eta} \left(\frac{z (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} g(z))'}{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} g(z)} - \eta \right)$$

then $H(z) \prec h(z)$, and using (1.10) once again, we have

$$(2.11) \quad (p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1) \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} g(z)}{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha+1,\gamma} g(z)} = (p - \eta)H(z) + \eta + (\alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1).$$

From (2.9) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p - \delta} \left(\frac{z (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z))'}{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z)} - \delta \right) = q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{(p - \eta)H(z) + \eta + (\alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)}.$$

and combining with (2.6), we deduce that

$$(2.12) \quad q(z) + \omega(z)zq'(z) \prec \phi(z), \quad \text{where } \omega(z) = \frac{1}{(p - \eta)H(z) + \eta + (\alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)}.$$

According to Lemma(2.2), the subordination (2.12) gives the required result. □

3. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |a_{p+1}| &\leq \frac{2(p - \eta)(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)}{(p + \beta)} \quad \text{and} \\ |a_{p+2}| &\leq \frac{(p - \eta) [2(p - \eta) + 1] (p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 2)}{(p + \beta)(p + \beta + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > -p, \alpha > \gamma - 1, 0 \leq \eta < p$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$.

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{p - \eta} \left(\frac{z (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z))'}{\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z)} - \eta \right) = q(z) \prec h(z)$$

where q is analytic in $z \in \mathbb{U}$ with $q(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n$.

From (3.2),

$$(3.3) \quad z (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z))' - \eta (\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z)) = (p - \eta)q(z)\mathfrak{R}_{\beta,p}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z)$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\frac{(\beta + p)(p - \eta + 1)}{(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)} a_{p+1} = \frac{(p - \eta)(\beta + p)}{(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)} a_{p+1} + (p - \eta)q_1$$

and

$$\frac{2(\beta + p)(\beta + p + 1)}{(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 2)} a_{p+2} = \frac{(p - \eta)q_1(\beta + p)}{(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)} a_{p+1} + (p - \eta)q_2$$

Using the inequality, $|q_n| \leq 2$ for all $n \geq 1$, we get

$$|a_{p+1}| \leq \frac{2(p - \eta)(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)}{(p + \beta)}$$

and

$$|a_{p+2}| \leq \frac{(p - \eta)[2(p - \eta) + 1](p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 2)}{(p + \beta)(p + \beta + 1)}.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, h)$, then*

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} |a_{p+1}| &\leq \frac{2p(p - \eta)(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)}{(p + 1)(p + \beta)} && \text{and} \\ |a_{p+2}| &\leq \frac{p(p - \eta)[2(p - \eta) + 1](p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)(p + \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 2)}{(p + \beta)(p + \beta + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > -p, \alpha > \gamma - 1, 0 \leq \eta < p$

We adopt the same technique of Theorem (3.1) for proving this result. So, the proof is omitted.

REFERENCES

- [1] On certain subclass of p-valent functions, M.K.Aouf, El-Ashwah and Ahmed M Abd Eltawab, Journal of classical Analysis, 4, 1(2014), 63 - 68.
- [2] Bernardi, S. D. Convex and starlike univalent functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 1969 429–446.
- [3] T. Bulboaca, Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [4] Eenigenburg, Paul; Miller, Sanford S.; Mocanu, Petru T.; Reade, Maxwell O. On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination. General inequalities, 3 (Oberwolfach, 1981), 339–348, Internat. Schriftenreihe Numer. Math., 64, Birkh?user, Basel, 1983
- [5] Jung, Il Bong; Kim, Yong Chan; Srivastava, H. M. The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 176 (1993), no. 1, 138–147.
- [6] Kim, Yong Chan; Choi, Jae Ho; Sugawa, Toshiyuki. Coefficient bounds and convolution properties for certain classes of close-to-convex functions. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 76 (2000), no. 6, 95–98.
- [7] Libera, R. J. Some classes of regular univalent functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 1965 755–758.
- [8] Liu, Jin-Lin; Owa, Shigeyoshi. Properties of certain integral operator. Int. J. Math. Sci. 3 (2004), no. 1, 69–75.
- [9] Livingston, A. E. On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 1966 352–357.
- [10] Ma, W.; Minda, D. An internal geometric characterization of strongly starlike functions. Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sk?odowska Sect. A 45 (1991), 89–97 (1992).

- [11] Miller, Sanford S.; Mocanu, Petru T. Differential subordinations. Theory and applications. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 225. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [12] Miller, Sanford S.; Mocanu, Petru T. Differential subordinations and univalent functions. Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981), no. 2, 157–172.
- [13] Mocanu, Petru T. Alpha-convex integral operator and strongly-starlike functions. Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 34 (1989), no. 2, 18–24.
- [14] Nunokawa, Mamoru. On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 69 (1993), no. 7, 234–237.
- [15] Saitoh, Hitoshi; Owa, Shigeyoshi; Sekine, Tadayuki; Nunokawa, Mamoru; Yamakawa, Rikuo. An application of a certain integral operator. Appl. Math. Lett. 5 (1992), no. 2, 21–24.
- [16] Yaguchi, Teruo. The radii of starlikeness and convexity for certain multivalent functions. Current topics in analytic function theory, 375–386, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992.

PRESIDENCY COLLEGE, CHENNAI-600 005, TAMILNADU, INDIA.

E-mail address: pamc9439@yahoo.co.in.

R.M.K.ENGINEERING COLLEGE, R.S.M.NAGAR, KAVARAIPETTAI-601 206, TAMILNADU, INDIA.

E-mail address: gtvenkat79@gmail.com